DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.18782/2320-7051.7398

**ISSN: 2320 – 7051** *Int. J. Pure App. Biosci.* **7 (3):** 203-209 (2019)

Research Article



Abhimanyu Chaturvedi<sup>1</sup>, D. S. Chhonkar<sup>1</sup>, and A. N. Tripathi<sup>2</sup>, Amit Singh<sup>1</sup>, P. P. Tripathi<sup>3</sup> and Nitin Pandey<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Krishi Vigyan Kendra- Tirap, Arunachal Pradesh,
 <sup>2</sup>Krishi Vigyan Kendra-Lower Subansiri, Arunachal Pradesh
 <sup>3</sup>Krishi Vigyan Kendra East Kameng, Arunachal Pradesh
 <sup>4</sup>Krishi Vigyan Kendra Lalitpur- Khiriamisra, Uttar Pradesh
 \*Corresponding Author E-mail: mannuhorti@gmail.com
 Received: 30.03.2019 | Revised: 28.04.2019 | Accepted: 6.05.2019

#### ABSTRACT

Tirap is one of the most backward districts of Arunachal Pradesh (India) having 88.2 % of populations are tribal. Okra (Abelmoschus esculentus), Onion (Allium cepa L.) and Brinjal (Solanum melongena L.) are the three major vegetable crops grown in the district. Farm Science Centre known as Krishi Vigyan Kendra laid down front line demonstrations on these three vegetable crops; by introducing improved and hybrid varieties and applying scientific package of practices in their cultivation. The productivity and economic returns of okra, onion and brinjal in improved technologies were calculated and compared with the corresponding farmer's practices (local checks). All the three vegetable crops recorded higher gross returns, net return and benefit cost ratio in improved technologies as compared to the plots where farmers were using traditional practices in their cultivation. It is suggested that location-specific integrated approaches would be needed to bridge the productivity gap of the vegetable crops grown in the district.

*Key words:* Vegetable crops, Front line demonstrations, Technology and extension gaps, Technology index, Improved technologies, Economics.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Krishi Vigyan Kendra (Farm Science Centre) an innovative science–based institution plays an important role in bringing the research scientists face to face with farmers. The main aim of Krishi Vigyan Kendra is to reduce the time lag between generation of technology at the research institution and its transfer to the farmers for increasing productivity and income from the agriculture and allied sectors on sustained basis. KVKs are grass root level organizations meant for application of technology through assessment, refinement and demonstration of proven technologies under different 'micro farming' situations in a district<sup>2</sup>.

**Cite this article:** Chaturvedi, A., Chhonkar, D. S. and Tripathi, A. N., Singh, A., Tripathi, P.P. and Pandey, N., Economic Impact of Front Line Demonstrations on Vegetables in Tiap District of Arunachal Pradesh, *Int. J. Pure App. Biosci.* **7(3):** 203-209 (2019). doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.18782/2320-7051.7398

International Journal of Pure & Applied Bioscience

Front line demonstration (FLD) is a long term educational activity conducted in a systematic manner in farmers fields to worth of a new practice/technology. Farmers in India are still producing crops based on the knowledge transmitted to them by their forefathers leading to a grossly unscientific agronomic, nutrient management and pest management practices. As a result of these, they often fail to achieve the desired potential yield of various crops and new varieties. Potential yield is determined by solar radiation, temperature, photoperiod, atmospheric concentration of carbon dioxide and genotype characteristics assuming water, nutrients, pests, and diseases are not limiting the crop growth. Under rainfed situation, where the water supply for crop production is not fully under the control of the grower, water-limiting yield may be considered as the maximum attainable yield for yield gap analysis assuming other factors are not limiting crop production. However, there may be season-to season variability in potential vield caused by weather variability, particularly rainfall. Water limiting potential yield for a site could be determined by growing crops without any growth constraints, except water availability<sup>15</sup>. The baseline survey was conducted by Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Tirap during 2012-13. In the trial, a bouquet of 15 technologies were tested in Deomali cluster consisting of 5 villages and 354 households in Noitong, involving Otonkhowa, Deomali , Namsang and Nutan basti villages. It was found that farmers were using old varieties of vegetable crops without proper use of recommended scientific package of practices. Keeping in view the constraints, Krishi Vigyan Kendra Tirap conducted front line demonstrations on major vegetable crops which would ensure livelihood, nutritional security and economic empowerment of tribal households at faster pace.

# MATERIAL AND METHODS Profile of the study area

Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Tirap (situated at 26.91°N latitude, 95.50°E longitude and an altitude of 1215 m above msl) belonging to Hot and Humid Eastern district of Arunachal Pradesh. Tirap district is the second smallest district of the state covering an area of 236200 hectares only, which is 2.82 percent of the total area of Arunachal Pradesh. Average land holding is 2.17 hectare per capita, which is lowest in the state. Most parts of the district are covered by hills and foot hills. Agriculture is the main source of the livelihood in the Tirap district of Arunachal Praesh with a gross cropped area of 81517 hectare<sup>4</sup>. The district has a semi humid climate with average temperature of the district varies from 18.8-35°C in summer and 6.5-24°C in winter and annual rainfall is about 3457 mm. Tirap is one of the most backward districts of Arunachal Pradesh (India) having 88.2 % of populations are tribal<sup>13</sup>. There are three major vegetable crops being cultivated in Tirap which includes okra, onion and brinjal.

Table 1: Area, production and productivity of Okra, Onion and Brinjal crops cultivated in the India(2015-16)

Vegetable	Area	% of total	Productio	on % of total	Productivity
	(in 000'	ha) vegetable area	(in 000' MT)	vegetable production	(in MT/ha)
Okra	511	5.02	5849	3.45	11.00
Onion	1320	13.06	20931	12.34	16.00
Brinjal	663	6.56	12515	7.40	19.00
Other Veg.	1625	22707			
Total	10106	169064			

Table 1 shows the area, total production and productivity of okra, onion and brinjal vegetable crops cultivated in the India during  $2015-16^7$ . It is evident that 24.6 per cent of the **Copyright © May-June, 2019; IJPAB** 

total vegetables cultivated area has been covered under okra, onion and brinjal in India. The 13.06 area of total vegetables comes under onion crop and per cent of total vegetable **204** 

Int. J. Pure App. Biosci. 7 (3): 203-209 (2019)

ISSN: 2320 - 7051

production 12.34 is covered by onion crop. In Arunachal Pradeh, the total area under vegetable production is 10106 thousand hectares with the production of 169064 tons<sup>4</sup>. thousand metric The present investigation was carried out in the adopted villages (Noitong, Otonkhowa, Deomali, Namsang and Nutan basti) located in the operational area of Krishi Vigyan Kendra Tirap with the objective to identify the yield gaps as well as to work out the difference in input cost and monetary returns under front line demonstrations and farmers' practices (local checks) of okra, onion and brinjal vegetable crops. Soil of the study area is sandy in texture with acidic in reaction (pH 5.3), high organic carbon (2.47 g kg-1 soil), low nitrogen

(247 kg ha-1), medium phosphorus (18.7 kg ha-1) and high in available potassium (267 kg ha-1). The critical inputs were applied as per the scientific package of practices recommended by the research wing of Asam Agricultural University, Jorhat<sup>1</sup>. The data on production cost and monetary returns was collected for five years (2012-13 to 2016-17) from front line demonstration plots to work out the economic feasibility of improved and scientific cultivation of vegetables. Besides, the data from local checks, data were also collected where farmers were using their own practices for cultivation of vegetable crops. The technology gaps, extension gaps and technology index were calculated as given by Samui *et al.*<sup>14</sup> as:

Technology gap = Potential yield – Demonstration yield Extension gap = Demonstration yield – Yield from farmers practice (Local check)

	Potential yield – Demonstration yield
Technology index	= x 100
	Potential Yield

# Table 2: Particulars showing the details of vegetables under Front line demonstrations and farmers practices

Crop Particulars	Farmers Practices ( Local checks)	s FLD (improved technologies)	Area		of		- 14 No o farme			- 15 No of farmers		- 16 No of čarmers		– 17 No of farmers			No of armers
<b>Okra</b> Variety Local/	improved N	VRO-6, 2	2 :	28 Arka	3 Ana		6	3	4	64	52	4	58		16	230	
Sowing Cro	(15 x 15 cm) no use of	20 kgha <sup>-1</sup> Crop geometry (15 x 15 cm) Basalin @1.6 1 ha <sup>-1</sup> ore sowing 80:40:40															
Onion	ocal	N-53		1.5	10	1.5	5 12		2	16	2	18	2	23		9	79
•	seed treatment T			1.5	10	1.0	. 12		2	10	2	10	2	25		,	17
treatment																	
nursery weed	no use of Per	10 kgha <sup>-1</sup> ndimethaline EC @ 3 l ha <sup>-1</sup>															
Nutrient Management (N:P:K)		re transplanting 40:50:70															
Pest management	no use of plant I protection measures	Dithane M 45 @ 1.0 l ha <sup>-1</sup>															
Brinjal Variety	local Pusa	purple long	2	18		2	21		3	34	3 3	0	4 4	42	14	14	15
Seed rate for ( Nursery	500 gm ha <sup>-1</sup> 400 gm	ı <sup>-1</sup>															
•	Crop geometry (50 x 40 cm)	Crop geometry (70 x 60 cm)															

Copyright © May-June, 2019; IJPAB

#### Int. J. Pure App. Biosci. 7 (3): 203-209 (2019)

Basalin 1.6 l ha-1 Weed no use of management herbicide pre transplanting Nutrient 15: 30:0 50: 60: 30 management (N:P:K) no use of Profenophos Pest plant protection @ 1.2 l ha management measures

#### Table 3: Productivity of vegetables, yield gaps and technology index (average over years)

Сгор	op No. of Demons-	Area (ha)		ductivity (qha <sup>-1</sup> )		Percent increase	Technology gap	Extension gap	Technology index (%)	-
	trations		Potential	Improved technologies	Local check	over	(qha <sup>-1</sup> )	(qha <sup>-1</sup> )		
Okra	230	16	120	94	76		23	26	18	21.66
Onion	79	9	210	178	116		53	32	62	15.24
Brinjal	145	14	290	227	183		24	63	44	21.72

# Table 4: Economics of vegetables production under front line demonstrations and farmers practices (local checks)

Particula	rs	Yiel (qh:	a <sup>-1</sup> )	(Rs.ha	,		(Rs.	s retu . ha <sup>-1</sup> )		Net Returns (Rs. ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Additional net return	B	B:C Ratio	0		
	Т			Г		· <sup>1</sup> )	Т		С	тс	over local (Rs. ha <sup>-1</sup>		тс	-		
 2012-13 Okra	9	5		64	56749	437				18267		172841	108376	5 97825 640	546	331
Onion	1	67	1	06	36042	3104	42		2.48	4350		117379	81830	76987 50	788	26
Brinjal	2	21		187	69340	562	18		2.63	8331		183480	123047	105809 6	6829	38
013-14 Okra	9	3		89	56982	4462	20		1.18	18974		152027	102402	2 76071 57	782	18
Dnion	1	87	1	17	37379	328	76		2.30	4800		134248	96256	96869 6	3380	33
srinjal	2	14	1	94	70821	572	53		2.92	8752		170278	129314	90705 49	741	40
014-15 Okra	9	1	8	1	57914	452	86	2.40	2.25	19732		178000	113026	100354 6	7740	32
Onion	1	74	1	15	38627	336	85	3.07	2.49	5500		153238	104927	109111 7	1242	37
rinjal	2	26	1	76	71638	598:	56	3.96	3.11	8500		192375	109271	112237 4	9415	62
015-16 Okra	9	2	7	9	59326	462	18		2.68	21263		192378	123487	111789 7	7269	34
nion	1	68	1	19	39824	356	24		2.67	6000		121205	81926	75381 4	6302	29
rinjal	23	33	1	77	72654	6138	32	3.04	2.30	9321		199072	110842	117097 4	9460	67
016-17 Okra	9	Э	6	7	60621	4819	95	2.74	1.80	21672		187290	102367	104997 6	2600	42
nion	19	94	1	23	40628	3783	31	3.08	2.12	5000		192821	118283	130521 7	5455	55
rinjal	24	41	1	81	73638	6312	29		3.12	9589		203567	113928	120340 50	)799	69
	178 Brinjal	94 116 230	74 38500 ) 183	5831	8 45609 34212 518 59569	5 8898	5005	9981		176507 143778 9664 117280 1192	4 110433	3207 66 61465 34880	007 48968	32200 3.73 2.65 1.97	3.02 2. 1.80	.41

Note - T indicates Trials of Demonstrations and C indicates Local Checks

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### **Description of Front Line Demonstrations**

The details of demonstrations conducted by Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Tirap are presented in Table 2. In each front line demonstration, the improved variety suitable to local condition was selected and the recommended package of practices was adopted. Some of the major differences between the improved technologies adopted in front line demonstrations and farmers practices (local checks) adopted by **Copyright © May-June, 2019; IJPAB**  farmers in different vegetable crops are summarized as below.

## Okra

The improved technologies included improved hybrid varieties (cv. Bhindi VRO-6 and Cylinder), nutrient management 80:40:40 NPK kgha<sup>-1</sup>) and pest management (Dimethoate 30EC @1.2 l ha<sup>-1</sup> and Malathion 35EC@ 1.2 l ha-1) were tested under demonstrations. Crop was sown by using seed @ 20 kg ha-1 with crop geometry 30×15cm.

ISSN: 2320 - 7051

The whole of Phosphorus and Potash in the form of Diammonium Phosphate (DAP) and Murat of Potash (MOP) were applied as basal dose and Nitrogen in the form of Urea was top dressed in two equal splits at 30 and 60 days after sowing. The herbicide, Basalin (fluclorolin 45 %EC) @ 1.6lha<sup>-1</sup> was appllied at pre sowing of okra crop. The Dimethoate 30EC @1.2lha<sup>-1</sup> was applied at the time of incidence of yellow mosaic virus and Malathion 35EC@1.2 lha-1 was applied for the control of fruit borer.

# Onion

Farmers were using local variety of onion. The seed rate used by the farmers was very high (12-15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Chemical fertilizers i.e. Urea and DAP were used by the farmers. In improved technologies includes improved varieties (cv. N 53 and AFDR and seeds was treated with Thiram @ 2.5g kg-1seed), nutrient management (40:40:30 NPK kgha-1) weed management (Pendamethalin and 30%EC @3.0lha-1 pre transplanting) were tested. The seeds of onion were sown in the raise bed nursery. The size of 15-20cm height, 45cm width and length as needed raise bed nursery were prepared, the seeds were sown in 5- 7cm row distance and 1-2cm deep. After sowing of seeds in the raise bed, watering was done by water cane or sprinkler. The seed were sown between 3<sup>rd</sup> week of October to 1st week of November. After 35-40 days, single seedling per hill was transplanted from nursery to field with crop geometry of  $15 \times 10$  cm. The whole of the Phosphorus and Potash were applied in the form of Diammonium Phosphate and Murat of Potash as basal dose and Nitrogen in the form of Urea was top dressed in two equal splits at 30 and 45 days after transplanting. For the control of weeds, Pendamethalin 30% EC @3.0lha<sup>-1</sup> was applied before transplanting of the crop. Dithane M-45 @1.0lha-1 was use for the control of purple blotch in onion (Alternaria porri).

## Brinjal

In case of brinjal (Table 2), farmers were using local or improved varieties of brinjal. The farmers were owing the seeds in flat bed using broadcast method without the use of any

improved herbicides. In technologies, included Improved variety- Pusa Purple Long, nutrient management (90:60:50 NPK kgha-1) and weed management (Fluclorolin 45%EC @1.6lha-1 at pre transplanting) were tested. Brinjal crop was sown between Ist week to 3rd week of November by using seed @ 400g ha-<sup>1</sup>. The seeds of brinjal were sown in the raise bed nursery. The size of 15-20cm height, 45cm width and length as needed raise bed nursery were prepared, the seeds were sown in 5-7cm row distance and 1- 2cm deep. After sowing of seeds in the raise bed, watering was done by water cane or sprinkler. After 30-35 days, seedling of brinjal were transplanted in the field with crop geometry of 70×60cm. Whole of the Phosphorus and Potash were applied in the form of DAP and MOP as basal dose and Nitrogen in the form of Urea was top dressed in two equal splits at 25 and 45 days after transplanting of crop. For the control of weeds, Basalin (fluclorolin) @1.6lha-1 was applied before transplanting of the crop. At the shoot borer (Leucinodes incidence of 1.2lha<sup>-1</sup> Profenophos @ was orbonalis), applied.

# Economic Impact of Front Line Demonstrations

During the period of study, it was observed that in front line demonstrations of improved technologies increased productivity of all the vegetables over respective local checks (Table 3). The improved technologies recorded higher productivity of brinjal and onion 230 q ha<sup>-1</sup> 178 q ha<sup>-1</sup> as compared to farmers practices (local checks) 183 q ha<sup>-1</sup>, 116 q ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The increase in productivity of brinjal and onion over respective local checks were 24 % and 53 %. The higher productivity of brinjal and onion under improved technologies were due to the sowing of latest high yielding varieties and adoption of improved nutrient and pest management techniques. Similar results have been reported earlier by Haque<sup>5</sup>, Hiremath and Nagaraju<sup>6</sup> and Dhaka et al.<sup>3</sup>. The year wise fluctuation in yields was observed mainly on the account of variations in soil fertility status and moisture availability due to untimely rainfall every year

(Table 4). Similarly, okra recorded higher productivity of 94 gha<sup>-1</sup> in improved technologies as compared to local check (76 q ha<sup>-1</sup>). The increase in the productivity of okra over local check was 23 %. The yield improvement in okra might be due to combined effect of high yielding, moderate disease resistant hybrid varieties and adoption improved weed of and nutritional management. Similar yield enhancement in different crops in front line demonstration has amply been documented by Haque<sup>5</sup>, Tiwari et al.<sup>16</sup>, Mishra et al.<sup>10</sup> and Kumar et al.<sup>9</sup>. Yield of the front line demonstration trials and potential yield of the crop was compared to estimate the yield gaps which were further categorized into technology and extension gaps<sup>6</sup>. The technology gap shows the gap in the demonstration yield over potential yield and it was highest in brinjal (63 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) in comparison to onion  $(32 \text{ g ha}^{-1})$  and okra (26 g)ha<sup>-1</sup>). The observed technology gap was mainly attributed to rainfed conditions prevailing in the district. The other reasons include dissimilarity in soil fertility status, marginal land holdings and hilly terrain. Further the higher extension gap of 62 q  $ha^{-1}$ was recorded in onion after brinjal (44 q  $ha^{-1}$ ) and okra (18 q  $ha^{-1}$ ). This emphasized the need to educate the farmers through various extension means for the adoption of scientific practices in cultivation of all the vegetable crops. Mukharjee<sup>12</sup> has also opined that depending on identification and use of farming situation, specific interventions may have greater implications in enhancing system productivity. The data presented in Table 3 revealed that, the technology index was minimum for onion (15.24%) compared to okra (21.66 %) and brinjal (21.72 %).

Technology index shows the feasibility of evolved technology at the farmer's field and lower the value of technology index more is the feasibility of the technology<sup>8</sup>. The inputs and outputs prices of commodities prevailed during each year of demonstrations were taken for calculating cost of cultivation, net return and benefit cost ratio (Table 4). The economic analysis of the data

over five years revealed that brinjal under front line demonstrations recorded higher gross returns (Rs. 189754 ha<sup>-1</sup>) and net return (Rs. 119237 ha<sup>-1</sup>) while onion recorded height B: C ratio (3.73) as compared to their local checks of respective vegetable crops where farmers got gross returns, net returns and B: C ratio of Rs. 117280 ha<sup>-1</sup>, Rs. 84357 ha<sup>-1</sup> and 1.80, respectively. Onion recorded maximum additional ret return (Rs. 48968) fallowed by Brinjal (Rs.34880) while Okra recorded minimum (Rs. 32200). These are in corroboration with the finding of Mishra et *al.*<sup>10</sup>, Tomar<sup>17</sup> and Mokidue *et al.* 

### CONCLUSIONS

Thus, the cultivation of vegetable crops with improved technologies including suitable varieties, weed management, nutrients and pest management has been found more productive and fruit yield in okra, onion and brinjal was increased up to 23, 53, and 24 per respectively. Technological cent, and extension gaps existed which can be bridged by popularizing package of practices with emphasis on the seed of improved vegetable hybrid varieties, use of proper seed rate, balanced nutrient application and proper use of plant protection measures. Replacement of local varieties with the released hybrid varieties of okra, onion and brinjal would increase the production and net income of these vegetable crops.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Anonymous, Package of Practices for Horticultural Crops Directorate of Extension Education, Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat. pp. 8-67 (2010).
- Das, P., Proceedings of the Meeting of DDG (AE), ICAR, with Officials of State Departments, ICAR Institutes and Agricultural Universities, NRC Mithun, Jharmapani; Zonal Coordinating Unit, Zone- III, Barapani, Meghalaya, India. Quoted by Venkatasubramanian, V., Sanjeev, M. V. and Singha, A. K., in Concepts, Approaches and Methodologies for Technology Application and Transfer-

a resource book for KVKs IInd Edition. pp. 6 (2007).

- 3. Dhaka, B. L., Meena, B. S. and Suwalka, R. L., Popularization of Improved Maize Production Technology through Frontline Demonstrations in South-eastern Rajasthan. Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 1(1): pp. 39-42 (2010).
- 4. Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, Agricultural Statistics Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Government of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar. pp. 43-57 (2010-2011).
- 5. Haque, M. S., Impact of compact block demonstration on increase in productivity of rice. Maharashtra. Journal of Extension Education, 19(1): Pp. 22-27 (2000).
- 6. Hiremath, S. M. and Nagaraju, M. V., Evaluation of front line demonstration trials on onion in Haveri district of Karnataka. Karnataka Journal ofAgricultural Sciences, 22(5): pp. 1092-1093 (2009).
- 7. Indian Horticulture Database, National Horticulture board, Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India 85, Institutional Area, Sector - 18, Gurgaon -122 015. pp. 1-278 (2011).
- 8. Jeengar, K. L., Panwar, P. and Pareek, O. P., Front line demonstration on maize in Bhilwara District of Rajasthan. Current. Agriculture, 30(1-2): pp. 115-116 (2006).
- 9. Kumar, A., Kumar, R., Yadav, V. P. S. and Kumar, R., Impact assessment of Frontline Demonstrations of Bajra in Haryana State. Indian Research Journal of *Extension Education*, **10(1)**: pp. 105-108 (2010).
- 10. Mishra, D. K., Paliwal, D. K., Tailor, R. S. and Deshwal, A. K., Impact of Frontline Demonstrations on Yield Enhancement of Indian Research Journal of Potato.

Extension Education, **9(3):** pp. 26-28 (2009).

- 11. Islam, M., Mohanty, A. K. and Kumar, S., Correlating growth, yield and adoption of urdbean technologies. Indian Research Journal of Extension Education, 11(2): pp. 20-24 (2011).
- 12. Mukharjee, N., Participatory learning and action. Concept publishing company, New Delhi, India. pp. 63-65 (2003).
- 13. Population Census, Population Census of Rajasthan Department of Economics and Government of Rajasthan, Statistics, Dungarpur. pp. 1-50 (2011).
- 14. Samui, S. K., Maitra, S., Roy, D. K., Mondal, A. K. and Saha, D., Evaluation on front line demonstration on groundnut (Arachis hypogea L). Journal of Indian Society of Coastal Agriculture Research, 18: pp.180-183 (2000).
- 15. Singh, P., Vijaya, D., Chinh, N. T., Pongkanjana, A., Prasad, K. S., Srinivas, K. and Wani, S. P., Potential Productivity and Yield Gap of Selected Crops in the Rainfed egions of India, Thailand, and Vietnam. Natural Resource Management Program Report no. 5, 50. International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics, pp. 1-25 (2001).
- 16. Tiwari, R. B., Singh, V. and Parihar, P., Role of front line demonstration in transfer of gram production technology. Maharashtra Journal Extension of Education, 22(1): p. 19 (2003).
- 17. Tomar, R. K. S., Maximization of productivity for chickpea (Cicer arietinum Linn.) through improved technologies in farmer's fields. Indian Journal Natural Products and Resources, 1(4): pp. 515-517 (2010).